



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,227	02/27/2004	Bach H. Le	049051-0221	4842
31824	7590	07/24/2009	EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			BELANI, KISHIN G	
18191 VON KARMAN AVE.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 500			2443	
IRVINE, CA 92612-7108				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/24/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/787,227	Applicant(s) LE ET AL.
	Examiner KISHIN G. BELANI	Art Unit 2443

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See response for item 11. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: 66-68 and 70-85

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet

12. Note the attached *Information Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____

/K. G. B./
Examiner, Art Unit 2443

/George C Neurauter, Jr./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Walker et al. prior art (US Patent Publication 6,244,957 B1) does adequately describe each claim element of the independent claims 66, 84, and 85 and dependent claims 70, 80, and 82. Furthermore, amended claim 82, after the final office action will place additional burden on the examiner. The examiner's response to the applicants' arguments is provided below:

The slot server 4 has no means for determining when to lock the automated play session. That information is provided by the player, as shown in Fig. 8A, step 550, and disclosed in column 8, lines 10-11, which teach that in step 550, the remote player enters the player parameter selections; and in column 8, lines 22-23 which further teach that player parameter selections include both play options and limiting criteria of play; wherein the limiting criteria of play are further defined in column 8, lines 30-33 to include session lock start time and session lock end time, thereby clearly establishing that a lock session signal is transmitted by the client device and not by the remote game server, as alleged.

The applicants' assert that Walker et al. in column 9, line 3 disclose transmitting locking data to the slot machine. The purpose of that signal is to prevent the slot machine from accepting coins and entering manual mode unless automated play is terminated by the player that initiated it, thereby disclosing that the duration of the session lock at the remote server is controlled by the server based on the lock start and end times for the automated play session provided by the player at the client. Column 8, lines 40-45 further disclose the same details. The applicants also argue that Walker et al. do not disclose or suggest a computing device (e.g., client) that prompts a user for identification information. The examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. The player will not be allowed to play the slot machine without first inserting the player tracking card 312 into the card reader 310 (shown in Fig. 2), and will be prompted to provide the identification information for authentication purposes before allowing him/her to play.